	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/720,910	BAKULA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andrea M. Valenti	3643
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>Andrea M. Valenti</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Donald J. Bobak</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 April 2005	Time: <u>2:20pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
andrea M. Valenti		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An examiner's amendment to Claim 8, line 13, "the surface of said second cavity;" was changed to --the surface of said second cavity; a first passageway extending through the foam core through said foam core communicating between said first and second cavities;--. Correct "said foam" or "said core" to read "said foam core".